

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,363	10	0/30/2003	Wayne H. Hanson	1-24778	7882	
4859	7590	05/19/2005		EXAMINER		
		ANSKI & TODD, ZA FOURTH FLO	EDELL, JOSEPH F			
	ER STREET	ZA FOORTH FLO	JK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TOLEDO,	OH 43604-	-1619		3636		
				DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)							
		10/697,363	HANSON ET AL.	r						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
		Joseph F Edell	3636	_						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 F	ebruary 2005.								
	<u> </u>	s action is non-final.	•							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	·									
Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Applicati	ion Papers									
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08  er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/697,363 Page 2

Art Unit: 3636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-8, 10-16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 2,815,794 to Hendrickson et al.

Hendrickson et al. disclose a seating system that includes all the limitations recited in claims 1-8, 10-16, and 18. Hendrickson et al. show a seating system having a base 20 (Fig. 1), a seat tray 50 (Fig. 5) positioned within the base and mounted for forward and rearward sliding movement with respect to the base, a biasing element 90 (Fig. 5) connected relative to the base and the seat tray with a dampening effect, a low-friction slide 60 (Fig. 6) mounting the seat tray to the base, a seat back 28 (Fig. 1) pivotally mounted relative to the seat tray and pivotally mounted to the seat tray at pivot points 30 (Fig. 1), a back support member 32 (Fig. 1), and a locking mechanism (Fig. 2) supported with respect to the base that locks the back support member in a fixed position wherein downward movement of the back support member in a vertical direction causes the seat back to pivot at the seat tray thereby reclining the seat back and causing the seat tray to slide forward.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,363 Page 3

Art Unit: 3636

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendrickson et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 327,775 to Dodge.

Hendrickson et al. disclose a seating system that is basically the same as that recited in claims 9, 17, and 19 except that the seating system lacks a leg support, as recited in the claims. See Figure 1 of Hendrickson et al. for the teaching that the seating system has a leg support 12. Dodge shows a seating system similar to that of Hendrickson et al. wherein the seating system has a base D (Fig. 1), a seat tray B (Fig. 1), and a leg support C (Fig. 1) pivotally mounted with respect to the seat tray.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the seating system of Hendrickson et al. such that the seating system has a leg support pivotally mounted with respect to the seat tray, such as the seating system disclosed in Dodge. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in view of the suggestion in Dodge that the leg support configuration provides a leg support that may be stored underneath the seat.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,363 Page 4

Art Unit: 3636

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Edell whose telephone number is (571) 272-6858. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30am-5:00pm.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/697,363

Art Unit: 3636

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JE

May 16, 2005

Peter M. Cuomo

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600

Page 5